

**Traffic Safety Work Group Agenda
Thursday, August 20, 2020 - 10:30 AM
Remote Participation Via Zoom
Pursuant to Governor Baker's March 12, 2020
Order Regarding Open Meeting Law
Nantucket, MA 02554**

To Join Zoom Meeting

<https://zoom.us/j/91934591465?pwd=Ym9BODc2WmZ6MUhZUndtaERmMDhuQT09>

Meeting ID: 919 3459 1465

Passcode: 119748

- I. **Call to Order**
- II. **Public Comment**
- III. **Official Business**
 - 1. Review request for no parking along portions of Joy Street (Tabled from July 23, 2020).
 - 2. Review of plan of Washington Street/Francis Street/Union Street intersection improvements.
 - 3. Review request for curb cut eliminating on-street parking at 10 Beach Street, Codfish Park.
 - 4. Review request for elimination of on-street parking space adjacent to Land Bank Codfish Park playground.
 - 5. Review request to post portion of Starbuck Road as no parking.
 - 6. Review request to post entirety of Trotters Lane and Parker Lane as no parking.
- IV. **Other Business***
- V. **Approval of minutes of July 23, 2020 at 10:30 AM.**
- VI. **Adjournment**

***For topics not reasonably anticipated 48 hours in advance of the meeting.**

From: [Andrew Vorce](#)
To: [Erika Mooney](#)
Subject: FW: On street parking
Date: Friday, July 17, 2020 5:09:33 PM

FYI

Andrew V. Vorce, AICP

Director of Planning

Nantucket Planning & Economic Development Commission
Planning and Land Use Services (PLUS)
2 Fairgrounds Road
Nantucket, MA 02554

Telephone: 508-325-PLUS (7587)



From: office barrett-enterprises.com <office@barrett-enterprises.com>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2020 4:50 PM
To: Andrew Vorce <AVorce@nantucket-ma.gov>
Subject: On street parking

Hello Andrew,
Hope this finds you well.

Not sure who I need to talk to or how to go about have the traffic committee/board review the on street parking on Joy St. It's so tough in the summer with parking on both side of that street and then add in the landscapers with trailers and that street can be hard to pass at times. Now add the fact that is the route we have to take with our semi trucks and trailers. Is there any way we can look at it and have parking only on one side of the street (preferably on Anne Barretts side) also we would love to have the parking start about 70' from Prospect St so we can make the corner with our trucks.

I will attach a photo we took the other day just driving that road in my car.



Thanks for any help and guidance in this matter

Also so sorry to hear about the passing of your sister, I worked with her up at the Westmoor club years ago.

Thanks

Stacy Barrett
Barrett Enterprises LLC
508-825-2001

This email was scanned by Bitdefender



**MAP FOR REFERENCE ONLY
NOT A LEGAL DOCUMENT**

Town and County of Nantucket, MA makes no claims and no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the validity or accuracy of the GIS data presented on this map.

Geometry updated 11/13/2018
Data updated 11/19/2018

FIRST 70'
BOTH SIDES
NO PARKING



MAP FOR REFERENCE ONLY
NOT A LEGAL DOCUMENT

Town and County of Nantucket, MA makes no claims and no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the validity or accuracy of the GIS data presented on this map.

Geometry updated 11/13/2018
Data updated 11/19/2018

16 Broad Street
Nantucket MA 02554
508-228-7266
508-228-7272 Fax

From: Dan Mulloy <dmulloy@sde-ldec.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 19, 2020 8:34 AM
To: Erika Mooney <EMooney@nantucket-ma.gov>
Subject: 10 Beach Street

Erika, we are working with the owners of 10 Beach Street. They are looking to rebuild the house and construct a new driveway onto Beach Street. The property doesn't currently have a curb cut. There is on street parking across about half of the property frontage with a solid yellow line across the other half, see attached site plan with aerial photo. Can you tell me what the process would be to secure this driveway permit? I assume there is a process to go through relative to dealing with the on street parking and yellow line. Thanks.

Daniel C. Mulloy, PE.
[Site Design Engineering, LLC](#)
11 Cushman Street
Middleboro, MA 02346
Main: (508) 967-0673 x110
[Direct: \(508\) 503-3500](#)
Mobile: (508) 468-0679
Fax: (508) 967-0674
dmulloy@sde-ldec.com
www.sde-ldec.com

Application for Curb Cut/Driveway Access Permit

Date: 8/13/20

Property Owner: Robert & Sheila Wilner

Tel.: 914-262-0040

Address: 787 King Street, Rye Brook, NY 10573

On-Island Agent: Dan Mulloy PE., Site Design Engineering, LLC

Tel.: 508-503-3500

Address: 11 Cushman Street, Middleboro, MA 02346

Location of Proposed Work: 10 Beach Street Map & Parcel: 73.2.4 / 10

Proposed Improvements: Construction of new driveway and curb cut

Plot plan showing proposed improvements must be attached. Site must be marked prior to inspection. No permit will be issued unless complete plans showing driveway location and drainage control are attached.

If curb cut will eliminate a legal parking space, approval from the Board of Selectman is required.

Parking Space Eliminated: _____ B.O.S. Approved: _____

All proposed work must comply with Chapter 139 (Zoning Bylaw), Section 139-20.1, Curb Cut and Driveway Access, of the Code of the Town of Nantucket.

Drainage improvements associated with the installation of the driveway on the subject property are the sole responsibility of the property owner.

This permit will be subject to the following conditions. All conditions must be met before certificate of occupancy is issued.

1. Any required pipe and/or appurtenances shall be installed at the owner's expense.
2. Stormwater run-off shall be prevented from discharging onto the public right-of-way.
3. Where applicable, an apron shall be installed by the property owner as per Ch. 139-20.1 B(2)(d).
4. Any granite or stone curbing removed shall be returned to the Department of Public Works garage.
5. Additional Conditions *if any*): _____

Applicant Signature: _____

Issue Date: _____

Approved by DPW: _____

Expiration Date: _____



LOCUS NOT TO SCALE

NOTES:

- EXISTING CONDITIONS INFORMATION WAS COMPILED FROM AN ON THE GROUND SURVEY PERFORMED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEERING, LLC ON NOVEMBER 5, 2019.
- ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO NAVD88.
- THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN ANY SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREAS AS DETERMINED FROM THE TOWN OF NANTUCKET FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP COMMUNITY-PANEL NUMBER 250230 0114 G (MAP REVISED JUNE 9, 2014).
- EXISTING UTILITY LINES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE FROM AVAILABLE INFORMATION AND ARE APPROXIMATE LOCATIONS. THERE MAY BE EXISTING LINES OTHER THAN THOSE INDICATED. LAND DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING & CONSULTING, LLC ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR DAMAGES INCURRED AS A RESULT OF UTILITIES OMITTED OR INACCURATELY SHOWN. BEFORE PLANNING FUTURE CONNECTIONS, THE PROPER PUBLIC UTILITY ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE CONSULTED.
- THIS PLAN AND ANY ACCOMPANYING CERTIFICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE A CERTIFICATION OF TITLE TO THE PROPERTY DISPLAYED HEREON. THE OWNER OF LOCUS AND ABUTTING PROPERTIES ARE SHOWN ACCORDING TO CURRENT TOWN ASSESSORS RECORDS.

RESIDENTIAL 1 (SR-1) ZONING DISTRICT

REGULATION	REQUIREMENT
MIN. LOT AREA	5,000 SQ. FT.
LOT FRONTAGE	50'
FRONT SETBACK	10'
SIDE SETBACK	5'
REAR SETBACK	5'
GROUND COVER RATIO	30%

CURRENT OWNER

10 BEACH STREET TRUST
ROBERT & SHEILA WILNER, TRUSTEES
787 KING STREET
RYE BROOK, NY 10573

TITLE REFERENCE:
DEED BK.1714/PG.175

PLAN REFERENCES:
L.C.C. PLAN NO. 42839-A
L.C.C. PLAN NO. 39460-A
L.C.C. PLAN NO. 40475-A
L.C.C. PLAN NO. 41136-A

SITE LOCATION:
10 BEACH STREET
NANTUCKET, MASSACHUSETTS

ASSESSORS REFERENCE:
MAP 73.2.4 PARCEL 10

LEGEND

- SPOT ELEVATION
- UTILITY POLE
- WATER GATE
- UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC
- ELECTRIC BOX

CONSTRUCTION NOTES

- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAKE APPLICATIONS FOR AND PAY ALL FEES FOR PERMITS REQUIRED TO CONSTRUCT THIS PROJECT.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL SITE PREPARATION NECESSARY FOR THE COMPLETE INSTALLATION OF THE PROJECT FACILITIES DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- ALL CONSTRUCTION METHODS, MATERIALS, AND COMPONENTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED SUBSURFACE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEM SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE APPROVED PLANS, THE REQUIREMENTS OF 310 CMR 15- TITLE 5 OF THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL CODE, AND ALL LOCAL BOARD OF HEALTH REGULATIONS UNLESS A WAIVER HAS BEEN GRANTED.
- ALL CHANGES TO THE PLAN MUST BE APPROVED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEERING, LLC., AND THE LOCAL BOARD OF HEALTH.
- ALL SYSTEM COMPONENTS, EXCEPT PERFORATED PIPING, SHALL BE WATERTIGHT AS REQUIRED BY 310 CMR 15.221.
- THE LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY. THE ENGINEER DOES NOT GUARANTEE THEIR ACCURACY OR THAT ALL UTILITIES AND SUBSURFACE STRUCTURES ARE SHOWN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY SIZE, LOCATION AND INVERT ELEVATIONS OF THE UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, AS REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH RECORD DATA SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY. THE CONTRACTOR IS CAUTIONED TO CONTACT DIG SAFE.
- IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO COORDINATE HIS WORK WITH THE APPROPRIATE HIGHWAY & UTILITY DEPARTMENTS.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ALL EXISTING AND NEWLY INSTALLED UTILITIES IN GOOD WORKING ORDER AND SHALL PROTECT THEM FROM DAMAGE AT ALL TIMES UNTIL THE WORK IS COMPLETED AND ACCEPTED BY THE OWNER.
- ELECTRIC, GAS, TELEPHONE, AND CABLE TELEVISION UTILITY CONNECTIONS AND SERVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE RESPECTIVE UTILITY.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE ALL MEASURES AND MATERIALS NECESSARY TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF ALL PERSONS AND PROPERTIES AT THE SITE DURING CONSTRUCTION. ALL EXCAVATIONS SHALL CONFORM TO CURRENT OSHA STANDARDS.
- A MINIMUM OF 18" VERTICAL CLEARANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED WHENEVER SANITARY SEWERS PASS BELOW WATER MAINS OR DRAIN LINES. OTHERWISE, WATER SERVICE, DRAIN LINES AND SEWER LINES SHALL BE CAREFULLY ENCASED IN CONCRETE FOR A MINIMUM OF TEN (10) FEET FROM THE CROSSING POINT. WHERE SEWER LINES PASS ABOVE WATER OR DRAIN LINES, THEY SHALL ALL BE ENCASED IN CONCRETE REGARDLESS OF CLEARANCE.



From: [Rachael Freeman](#)
To: [Erika Mooney](#)
Subject: Yellow Line Request
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 5:02:13 PM
Attachments: [Yellow Line Extension 07.24.20.pdf](#)

Hi Erika,

I have attached 2 images of an area by Codfish Park Playground where we are having a safety issue due to parking. There is ongoing concern that a child is going to be hit by a car because the entrance to the playground is visually obscured by vehicles parking in the area highlighted in yellow (see attached PDF). If the yellow line were to be extended slightly down the road and the Land Bank adds large stones to prevent parking, it would allow sight lines to be maintained for people exiting the playground.

I have never worked with Traffic Safety before and am wondering what the process is? Any help would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks so much and have a great weekend!
Rachael

*Rachael Freeman, Environmental Coordinator
Nantucket Islands Land Bank
22 Broad Street
Nantucket, MA 02554
508-228-7240*

This email was scanned by Bitdefender





From: [Jen Holloway](#)
To: [Erika Mooney](#)
Subject: Starbuck road
Date: Monday, July 27, 2020 12:06:33 PM

Hi,

Wondering what our neighborhood can do to start the process of getting No Parking signs installed along Starbuck road near beach #2.

Residents have been experiencing frequent and significant issues with beach overflow parking along an already narrow road. Cars are parking along the road restricting two way traffic, eliminating oncoming visibility on a blind corner, restricting residence driveway access, and potentially restricting emergency vehicle access.

Our neighborhood is active with pedestrians, dogs and bicycles. Overflow parking restricts visibility, especially at the blind corner and leaves no space for pedestrians to move to the side of the road.

We are looking to create safe access to the beach for our residents and our neighborhood before a road accident or beach emergency happens. No Parking signs would greatly help to improve safety in our area. Appreciate any and all help. Thanks.

Sincerely,
Jen Wise

This email was scanned by Bitdefender



From: [Jen Holloway](#)
To: [Erika Mooney](#)
Subject: Re: Starbuck road
Date: Wednesday, July 29, 2020 2:59:39 PM
Attachments: [ATT00001.txt](#)

Hi Erika,

That's great! Thank you so much for placing us on the agenda.

I've marked the area in question with yellow highlighter. It's basically Madaket Land Trust property on one side and neighbors on the other.

A few signs along this part of the road would contribute to the safety of our neighborhood and would be helpful for neighbors who are dealing with access to their property during summer months. Just the other day a neighbor was trying to get his boat on a trailer out of his driveway and was unable to do so as the cars blocked his turning radius. My concern is if a car and trailer can't gain access or egress a fire truck certainly would be unable as well.

Appreciate your help with this matter.

Thanks so much.

Best,

Jen Wise

37 Starbuck

This email was scanned by Bitdefender



From: [Tripp Billings](#)
To: [Erika Mooney](#)
Subject: Re: Request: No Street Parking signs on Parker and Trotters
Date: Monday, August 10, 2020 2:16:19 PM

Both Trotters and Parker no Parking the whole street. I think its a law you can't park on a street 40 feet from the the beginning of another street. I know someone got a ticket for parking to close to Essex st and they were parked on Cynthia. I was just pointing out VW cause that spot is dangerous. I'll go get some pics for you. Most cars wear out a track on grass where they have been parking so much. Thank you

> On Aug 10, 2020, at 2:02 PM, Erika Mooney <EMooney@nantucket-ma.gov> wrote:

>

> Hey Tripp,

> I can add this to the Aug, 20 Traffic Safety Work Group agenda. If you have photos that would be helpful. Are you thinking no parking the entirety of the roads? Or just at the intersections?

>

> Erika

>

> Erika D. Mooney

> Operations Administrator

> Town of Nantucket

> 16 Broad Street

> Nantucket MA 02554

> 508-228-7266

> 508-228-7272 Fax

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Tripp Billings <trippb@comcast.net>

> Sent: Monday, August 10, 2020 2:00 PM

> To: Erika Mooney <EMooney@nantucket-ma.gov>

> Subject: Request: No Street Parking signs on Parker and Trotters

>

> Erika, Hi its Tripp Billings. Hope your doing well under these crazy times. I just spoke to Melinda Burns and she told me to email you. I was wondering if No Street Parking signs can be put up on Trotters and Parker. The first house on right on Parker leaving Hooper Farm, there has been a black VW parked on street only 15-20 feet from Hooper Farm road. So when you turn onto Parker from Hopper Farm you almost hit it. I almost hit the VW twice when another car was coming down Parker. The first sign of an over crowded house(which there seems to be a lot of these days) is street parking. There are a lot of new house's going up on Parker and Trotters that it might be a good time to put up some No Street Parking signs. The street parking makes street look terrible and you sometimes cant have two cars passing each other. I live off Brinda Ln and there is a lot of street parking but its private so there's nothing I can do. Brinda Ln is a little narrower so when people street park it could make it difficult for emergency vehicles to pass them. One landlord on Brinda Ln has in the lease no street parking, I guess that's what I can try to get a couple other landlords on Brinda to do. I mentioned to Melinda to put No Street Parking signs up on Miacomet Rd a couple weeks before you guys put up the signs, the road looks so much better, thank you. If you have any ideas of how to put no street parking signs on Brinda let me know. Thank you for your help. Tripp

_____ This email was scanned by Bitdefender



67 317.2

67 767

67 317.1

67 310

67 769

67 312

67 309

67 770

67 771

67 318

67 319.1

67 311

67 30

321

67 319.2

67 895

67 319

Parker Lane

67 308

67 670

67 320

67 671

67 882

67 174

67 175

67 173

41.264888,-70.096015





TRAFFIC SAFETY WORK GROUP

Remote Participation Via Zoom

Pursuant to Governor Baker's March 12, 2020

Order Regarding Open Meeting Law

Nantucket, Massachusetts 02554

www.nantucket-ma.gov

~~ MINUTES ~~

Thursday, July 23, 2020

Staff in attendance: Operations Administrator Erika Mooney; Town Minute Taker Terry Norton
Attending Members: Arthur Gasbarro (chair); Jack Gardner (vice chairman); Fire Prevention Officer Sean Mitchell; NPD Lieutenant Angus MacVicar; Commission on Disability Chair Milton Rowland; Department of Public Works (DPW) Director Rob McNeil

I. CALL TO ORDER AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Called to order at 10:30 a.m. by Mr. Gasbarro

III. PUBLIC COMMENT

None

IV. OFFICIAL BUSINESS

1. Request for approval of curb cut application for 9 West Chester Street resulting in removal of off-street parking.

- Speakers Victoria Ewing, Link, for 9 West Chester Street
Curtis Barnes, 12 Sunset Hill Lane
Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP for 7 West Chester Street
- Documentation Email from Planning/DPW; Application for Curb Cut/Driveway Access; site plans and photos; email from Curtis Barnes with photo
- Discussion **Ewing** – The structure increased to 6 bedrooms; there are two existing spots; looking to add two more.
Gasbarro – We are reviewing the parking spots marked on the plan as Number 2 & 3 with a curb cut of about 16 feet. A main point to deliberate is that a new yellow line will be necessary across the apron thus reducing on-street parking. What would remain would be a single on-street parking spot.
McNeil – If approved, the brick sidewalk must be appropriately modified to allow Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant passage; the plan does not provide those details. The second parking area will be a fair amount of newly generated impervious surface draining out into the roadway; the stormwater system wasn't designed to handle the increased stormwater capacity, which has been reduced due to the increase in secondary and tertiary structures and increase impervious surfaces in this area. ADA passage might impact the overall layout
Gasbarro – The past policy has been that the proposal to eliminate on-street parking must generate parking for at least one more vehicle off street; we are getting two cars off the street with the loss of one spot.
Barnes – There has been much new off-street parking created on West Chester Street; asked if there is a definition for a compact parking space; pointed out that at 27 West Chester Street, double parking was approved with one spot to be compact which doesn't work. This already has one parking area for 2 cars; this is an excessive request and should be denied.
Gasbarro – Read the definition for a compact parking space.
Rowland – The benefit to the public of having 4 off-street parking spaces is that they will have 4 cars on the site all the time.

Reade – 7 West Chester Street is not part of this property coming before you; the plan shows the lot line as including No. 7.

Action **Motion to Recommend removal of one on-street spot with the drainage issue addressed.**
(made by: Gardner) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried 5-1//Rowland-nay; Mitchell, Gardner, MacVicar, McNeil, and Gasbarro-aye
2. Request for yellow no parking lines at and across from 4 Derrymore Road.

Speakers Curtis Barnes, 12 Sunset Hill Lane

Documentation Email from Alicia Talanian/DPW; photos

Discussion **Gasbarro** – We don't have a plan showing a specific location for the yellow lines.
Gardner – At the corner of North Liberty Street and Derrymore, vehicles, parked on the side of the road, make turning onto the street difficult; many owners have put up hedges along their property lines further pushing vehicles into the road. There needs to be a 20-foot yellow line at the intersection.

Mooney – She encouraged the homeowner to call the police about parking violations.

McNeil – The applicant should submit some level of plan, so we have something to review.

Barnes – His family owns 8 Derrymore; there is an existing yellow line about 60 feet long across from this property. He feels they don't need a yellow line in front of their driveway.

Gasbarro – Asked Ms. Mooney to convey back to the owner that there is a yellow line and to call the police if someone is parked on it or blocking their driveway.

Action **No action taken.**

Roll-call Vote N/A

3. Request for additional yellow no parking lines on Mill Street.

Speakers Joan Taylor, 16 Mill Street

Documentation Emails from Joan Taylor; Aerial GIS map; 2019 history of Mill St action

Discussion **Taylor** – Last summer we had issues of accessing our driveway. The road is 18 feet wide at this point with parking across the street and both sides of the house; there is an issue getting out of the driveway, because when cars are parked it leaves 5 feet of roadway. One solution is to allow parking on only one side of the street. She provided a photo showing cars parked on both sides and how little road space is left. Asked, if in the short term, the existing yellow line could be extended 5 feet.

Gardner – Thinks parking on only one side is a good idea. This needs to be looked at by a transportation planner.

Gasbarro – We need to do further study to be able to present to the Select Board and accurately reflect the impact on parking.

Action **Motion to recommend extending the yellow line 5 feet as shown on graphic provided by Ms. Taylor** (made by: Gardner) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Gardner, Mitchell, Rowland, McNeil, MacVicar, and Gasbarro-aye
4. Request for "Slow Children" or other traffic calming signage along Miacomet Road.

Speakers None

Documentation Email from Paul Gaucher

Discussion **Mooney** – It was well outlined in the letter. The chief has looked at the data and determined it fits the criteria for a 20 MPH thickly-settled zone.

MacVicar – He's not sure signage will help. Due to the layout of the road, if you're going over 25 MPH, it feels very fast. He's not sure what will make cars go slower.

Gardner – The road is 28 feet wide and cars do go fast; a legal limit of 25 MPH would help.

McNeil – One of the goals of going to the town-wide 25 MPH safety zone was the removal of excess signage specific to speeding.

Gasbarro – The DPW can look at installing signs changing from 30 MPH to 25 MPH thickly settled. Asked Ms. Mooney to notify Mr. Paul Gaucher of that decision.

Action **No action taken**
 Roll-call Vote N/A

5. Request for yellow no parking lines at the northern end of Broadway, ‘Sconset.

Speakers Bill Moore, 26 Broadway
 Documentation Email from Bill Moore; GIS map; photo; email from Linda & Chris Holland
 Discussion **Moore** – As Broadway turns to intersect Shell Street, it narrows before and around the curve; there’s not enough room for parking and passing traffic without driving over the edge of our property; there is a utility box just around the curve. At least stop parking at the northern end of the curve from the driveway south to where the road widens. There is a lot of tourist traffic on this section of road as well. His neighbors support his proposal and he can ask them to email their support to the Members. With the parking, it is hard for trucks to make the corner without hitting the utility box. This has become an issue in only the past couple of years.
McNeil – The parking in this area helps keep the traffic moving slowly; this corner has a lot of pedestrian traffic as well, which has to be considered. Concerning the degradation of the road, he’d rather look at the property line to review possible encroachment and extending pavement to accommodate vehicles while keeping the parking in place.
Gardner – A lot of people walk there; it would be a good idea to look into extending the pavement; parked cars slow the traffic making it safer for people to walk there.
Gasbarro – Asked if the DPW would take on the survey effort.
McNeil – We can do that; a plot plan would be helpful, if the owner has one.

Action **Tabled for one month.**
 Roll-call Vote N/A

6. Discuss Making a Portion of Pleasant Street One-Way.

Speakers Jason Bridges, Select Board
 Curtis Barnes, 12 Sunset Hill Lane
 Documentation Email from Jason Bridges; sketch plans
 Discussion **Bridges** – A year ago, he, Mr. Mike Burns, and the Bicycle-Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) walked Pleasant Street to look at putting in a sidewalk; it was then suggested to make Pleasant Street one-way towards 5 Corners. Reviewed the plan showing a sidewalk and bike lane on the west side and one-way vehicular traffic on the east side.
Gardner – If you make this one way, you put more traffic at 4 Corners and tie up traffic even more; it’s better off just putting the sidewalk in.
McNeil – Mr. Burns spent a lot of time exploring the options of this being one way and accommodating bike traffic along this narrow section of Pleasant Street. In the winter we talked about piloting this sort of thing, but it wasn’t ready. In the interim without a Transportation Planner, the Town Planning Director, Select Board, and myself have looked at improving Sparks Avenue and Pleasant Street and agreed to table the one-way discussion until further notice and the position of Transportation Planner is filled.
Rowland – This could be tested in the off season; this is a difficult place for pedestrians. He’d support a pilot test.
Gasbarro – We discussed this in the past and he feels that in a vacuum, it’s a great idea; however, considering over-all traffic you have to study the “downstream” effect on 4 Corners and Orange Street. Without that study, he doesn’t support the one-way proposal.
Barnes – Mr. Gardner is correct; he normally comes up Pleasant and he would have to go up Atlantic Avenue to 4 Corners. Pointed out that New Street is one way and to get to it would become convoluted. He doesn’t support making this one way.
Bridges – We have to stop making car-centric decisions; it’s not in the best interest of our Island’s future. If there is no safety issue, he encourages trying this in the fall. We need to

encourage people to walk and bike more. 4 Corners has always been an issue; that won't change.

McNeil – The discussion about a pilot for this area has been delayed. Recommends moving forward to develop a pilot and come back for input on that.

Action Motion to not support a recommendation to make Pleasant Street one way at this time. (made by: Gardner) (not seconded) No vote.

Tabled for further study.

Roll-call Vote N/A

7. Discuss parking along Traders Lane.

Speakers Arthur Reade, Reade, Gullicksen, Hanley, & Gifford LLP, for Mary Margret Holmes at 2 Traders Lane and the Perlman at 3 Traders Lane
Mary Margret Holmes, 2 Traders Lane
Pierce Ledbetter, 2 Traders Lane
Edward Perlman, 3 Traders Lane

Documentation Email from Arthur Reade

Discussion **Reade** – This is the section adjacent to the right side of Summer Street Church where it is too narrow for parking in the street; in the past, cars parked on the sidewalk. Pedestrians and vehicles could get by. There is a no-parking sign in the hedge closer to Main Street, but it's unclear the scope of the no parking. Ms. Holmes has no off-street parking; Mr. Pearlman's house has a driveway. There was a possibly abandoned vehicle that had been there a long time and was been reported to police; that set off enforcement of the no-parking. His clients would prefer to go back to the previous parking situation.

Holmes – This is primarily a walking street and parked vehicles are connected with the church services or work on the church. We've always used that area for parking; with vehicles pulled onto the church sidewalk, the garbage truck can make it down the street. Asking to allow parking on the sidewalk side of Traders Lane, which is one way.

Ledbetter – The enforcement has reduced the decades-long traffic calming influence of the parked cars; vehicles go faster down the road now.

Gasbarro – It sounds like the situation is not to make physical changes to the Traders Lane layout.

Rowland – The sidewalk looks to be about 4.5 feet wide; that services the ADA accessible church doors. He doesn't know how to ensure the ADA access with cars parking on the sidewalk. He would oppose the proposal.

Mooney – If that is the accessible access to the church, you will have people in wheelchairs going into the road; that is problematic. Mr. Perlman has an unpermitted driveway and his vehicle sticks out almost to the street. There have been on-going issues in this area.

Reade – The sidewalk runs up to Mr. Perlman's driveway, which looks like but isn't a continuation of the sidewalk; Mr. Perlman's vehicle sticking out into the sidewalk shouldn't be considered as a violation.

Mitchell – The ADA access is also an egress; anyone parking on the sidewalk near the doors would be obstructing an emergency egress.

Discussion on a motion to support police enforcement of no parking along Traders Lane:

Perlman – We want to be able to park in our driveway or be able to parallel park on the end of our driveway. We only have parking for one vehicle. We can't pull all the way or extend the driveway in because it narrows to the point no one can get out of the car.

Gasbarro – The end of the driveway Mr. Perlman mentions is in the public road layout. An option is a yellow line from the curb to Mr. Perlman's driveway.

Mooney – Mr. Perlman's garden is also in the public right of way. This driveway was not permitted by the DPW.

Discussion about the legality of Mr. Perlman’s driveway and using it he could receive a ticket.

MacVicar – The police are enforcing parking as it is posted.

Reade – That isn’t clear since the no-parking sign is so far down the street; it’s confusing.

Gasbarro – Agrees the sign is ambiguous but there is a yellow line, though faded. If we go with a motion to support police enforcement, the no-parking needs to be better delineated. The next question is if the yellow line stops at the edge of Mr. Perlman’s driveway. Another point would be to install the yellow line in front of the ADA access door.

Rowland – There are two doors and he doesn’t know which door is for ADA access, (the blue one). Withdrew his motion to support police enforcement.

Discussion about how far the yellow line should extend and remove or keep the signage.

Action **Extend yellow line on Traders from Summer Street to 8 feet past blue door and a segment of yellow line from corner of Mr. Perlman’s driveway to the other side of the white door.** (made by: Rowland) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Gardner, Rowland, McNeil, Mitchell, MacVicar, and Gasbarro-aye
8. Review request for no parking along portions of Joy Street.

Speakers Stacy Barrett, Joy Street

Documentation Email from Barrett Enterprises with photo; GIS maps

Discussion **Mooney** – Joy Street is currently parking on both sides.

Barrett – The cars end up parking on both sides which makes turning on and off Joy Street at Pleasant Street and vehicle passage is difficult.

Gasbarro – The proposal is for no-parking for 70 feet on both sides. We have to be careful about doing a “quick blanket” solution. Thinks it might be good to study maximizing parking by staggering parking.

McNeil – It’s prudent that this go to the Planning Department for discussion and feedback. It needs a Transportation Planner analysis before we make a decision.

Gardner – He thinks parking along one side only would be a good solution.

Gasbarro – Suggested tabling this to the next meeting to look at possible solutions.

Action Motion to recommend no parking either side for 70 feet from the Pleasant Street intersection and along the west side of Joy street and parking along the east side the rest of the length of Joy Street. (made by: Gardner) (Not seconded) No vote.

Tabled to the August meeting.

Roll-call Vote N/A

V. OTHER BUSINESS (Topics not reasonably anticipated 48 hours in advance of meeting)

- 1. None

VI. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes for June 18, 2020 adopted by unanimous consent.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Action **Motion to adjourn at 12:49 p.m.** (made by: Gardner) (seconded)

Roll-call Vote Carried unanimously//Gardner, Rowland, McNeil, MacVicar, Mitchell, and Gasbarro-aye

List of additional documents used at the meeting:

- 1. Draft minutes for June 18, 2010